

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

CLINGMAN & HANGER MANAGEMENT
ASSOCIATES, LLC, AS TRUSTEE OF THE
FURIE LITIGATION TRUST,

Plaintiff,

v.

KAY RIECK, LARS DEGENHARDT,
THEODOR VAN STEPHOUDT, DAVID
HRYCK, REED SMITH LLP, THOMAS E.
HORD, MICHAEL ANTHONY NUNES,
STONE PIGMAN WALTHER WITTMAN LLC,
IN ITS OWN CAPACITY AND AS SUCCESSOR
BY MERGER TO COGAN & PARTNERS LLP,
DAVID ELDER, BRUCE GANER, SIERRA
PINE RESOURCES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
AND HELENA ENERGY, LLC,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:21-cv-02698

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1446, and 1452, Defendant Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann L.L.C.¹ (“Stone Pigman”) removes to this Court the state-court action described in paragraph 1 below.

THE REMOVED ACTION

1. The removed action is a civil case filed on August 6, 2021, styled *Clingman & Hanger Management Associates, LLC as Trustee of the Furie Litigation Trust v. Kay Rieck, et al.*, No. 2021-48592, 334th Judicial District Court, Harris County, Texas (the “Removed Action”).

DOCUMENTS FROM REMOVED ACTION

¹ Plaintiff incorrectly named and mis-spelled Stone Pigman as “Stone Pigman Walther Wittman LLC, in its own capacity and as successor by merger to Cogan & Partners LLP.” Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann L.L.C. is not a “successor by merger to Cogan & Partners LLP” and cannot be sued in that capacity.

2. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and LOCAL RULE 81 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Stone Pigman includes the following under the attached Exhibit A: (a) an index of matters being filed; (b) the docket sheet; (c) pleadings asserting causes of action, *e.g.*, petitions, counterclaims, cross actions, third-party actions, interventions and all answers to such pleadings; and (d) a list of all counsel of record, including addresses, telephone numbers and parties represented. The state court's docket does not reflect any executed process, and the state court judge has not signed any orders. *See* Local Rule 81(1) and (3).

REMOVAL IS TIMELY

3. Removal is timely under 28 U.S.C § 1446(b) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9027(a)(3) because this notice is filed within 30 days of Stone Pigman's receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which the Removed Action is based.

THE VENUE REQUIREMENT IS MET

4. The venue requirement is met under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9027(a)(1) because this Court is the United States District Court for the district and division corresponding to the place where the Removed Action was pending. To the extent the Removed Action is referred to the Bankruptcy Court, Stone Pigman does not consent to entry of final orders or judgment by the Bankruptcy Court. *See* FED. R. BANKR. P. 9027(a)(1).

JURISDICTION EXISTS

5. The Removed Action is a civil action that falls under the Court's original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331² and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 and may be removed to this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446. Because the Removed Action is not being removed "solely

² The Removed Action asserts claims under a federal statute, 11 U.S.C. § 548. *See* Petition ¶¶ 171-175 (Third Cause of Action) and ¶¶ 176-179 (Fourth Cause of Action).

under section 1441(a),”³ the consent-to-removal requirements in 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)(A) do not apply. In any event, the state court’s docket does not reflect any other defendants “who have been properly joined and served.”

6. Additionally and alternatively, the Removed Action is a civil action that falls under the Court’s original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) because it “aris[es] under” the Bankruptcy Code and/or “aris[es] in” or is “related to” a jointly administered bankruptcy case under title 11 of the United States Code styled *In re Furie Operating Alaska, LLC, et al.*, No. 19-11781 (LSS), United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. Accordingly, the Removed Action may be removed to this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a), which does not require the consent of other parties. In any event, the state court’s docket does not reflect any other defendants “who have been properly joined and served.”

FILING OF REMOVAL PAPERS

7. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9027(c), written notice of the removal of this action has been given simultaneously to Plaintiff’s counsel, and a Notice to the State Court of Filing Notice of Removal is simultaneously being filed with the 334th Judicial District Court, Harris County, Texas. A true and correct copy of this Notice is attached as Exhibit B.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann L.L.C. removes the above-captioned action from the 334th Judicial District Court, Harris County, Texas, to this Court.

³ See ¶ 6, below (effecting removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a)).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ George M. Kryder

George M. Kryder

State Bar No. 11742900

gkryder@velaw.com

Matthew W. Moran

State Bar No. 24002642

mmoran@velaw.com

Jordan W. Leu

State Bar No. 24070139

jleu@velaw.com

VINSON & ELKINS LLP

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3900

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 220-7700

Patrick W. Mizell

State Bar No. 14233980

pmizell@velaw.com

VINSON & ELKINS LLP

1001 Fannin Street, Suite 2500

Houston, Texas 77002-6760

Telephone: (713) 758-2222

Attorneys for Defendant

Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann L.L.C.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served upon counsel of record on August 18, 2021, using the Court's electronic filing system and by email to:

rcorn@corn-law.com

bamini@aminillc.com

asamet@aminillc.com

/s/ George M. Kryder

George M. Kryder